

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,851	10/753,851 01/07/2004		Masakazu Sugimoto	52433/750	6018
26646	7590	08/25/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON LLP	CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E		
ONE BRO NEW YOR		0004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3635	
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/753,851	SUGIMOTO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chapman E. Jeanette	3635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/6/0	5						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		·					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/753,851

Art Unit: 3635

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al (6857808)(PCT Publication Date 3/8/01) in view of Prokopenko et al (PK)(6467321). Sugimoto et al discloses a steel pipe 1 reinforced with ribs 3 welded to the steel pipe pole base in the form of a T-joint. Figures 9-10 and 22 shows ribs being tabular ribs. Figure 2-3 and 6-7 shows ribs of an inverted U or invert V shape which are bent at the upper end portions. The forming process is not that of peening process portions at weld toes by ultrasonic vibration. PK discloses welding by ultrasonic peening in the appropriate area as a treatment. PK also discloses applying the peening treatment by ultrasonic vibration under the conditions of 20-40 m in amplitude and several tens of kHz in frequency, 20kHz. The term treatment is implied to a part/structure that is already formed; a load has already been applied; the external load has been considered secondary element 8 in Sugimoto et al. Again, the term treatment is applied to something already formed not used in the method to make something. Hence, after the ribs are welded to the post, then the treatment of Sugimoto et al is applied. The load from the pipe would inherently impose to some degree a tensile stress in the direction of the pipe axis on the base material in the region subject to the peening

Application/Control Number: 10/753,851

Art Unit: 3635

treatment. In view of all of the above it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the peening treatment by ultrasonic vibration to the joining structure of Sugimoto et al in order to strengthening metal surfaces as taught by PK.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant argues that US '808 to Sugimoto et al does not suggest the peening process but the secondary references within the art of metals. PK does not limit his methods merely to one specific structure. PK discloses that metals subjected to this process benefit by strengthening the metal. Sugimoto device or joining structure empolys metal and the purpose of his invention is to improve the proof stress and the fatigue proper of the joining structure. See column 2, lines 29-42...

Argument directed to new claims 7-11 are moot given these claims are objected to; see interview summary of 8/10/06.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3635

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chapman E. Jeanette whose telephone number is 571-

272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-thursday, 8:30-6:00, every

fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Friedman Carl can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EANETTE CHAPMAN

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3635

jec